UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,180	04/05/2007	Volker Gandert	10191/4029	9258	
<sup>26646</sup> KENYON & K	7590 10/02/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER			
ONE BROADY		KAMEN, NOAH P			
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3741		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/565,180		GANDERT, VOLKER			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Noah Kamer	ı	3741			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state teply received by the Office later than three months after the may and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, od will apply and will ex tute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim  xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/</u>	18/09					
· · ·		his action is non	-final				
3)	<i>'—</i>			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in decordance with the practice unde	. Ex parto Quay	70, 1000 0.0. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 8,9,11-13,15-17 and 19-21 is/are p	ending in the ap	plication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consi	ideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) 8,9,11-13,15-17 and 19-21 is/are re	ejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election req	uirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	inor					
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		objected to by the [	Evaminar			
10)[							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	•		ED 4 4047 IV		
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•			, ,		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/09.	4) 5) 6)	· <b>二</b>	nte			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 8, 9, 11-13, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salecker (GB2317660).

Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salecker et al (GB 2 317 660 A). Salecker et al discloses an engine start control and as described on Page 21, Lines 10-27, the control checks in block 102 to determine whether the gear box is in neutral or engaged. If the gear box is not in neutral, then in block 104, "the clutch is opened automatically and at least one vehicle brake, such as parking brake or operating brake is operated automatically." Afterwards in block 105, a starter release is provided and the engine is started in block 106. See also Figure 2. However, Salecker et al does not disclose "making a check as to whether the vehicle is stationary".

Specifically regarding Claim 8 and the limitation of "making a check as to whether the vehicle is stationary", a driver or operator would inherently check to see if the vehicle is stationary. If the vehicle were to be in motion (i.e. the vehicle is already in operation, or if the vehicle begins rolling down the hill after being parked) the driver would not be concerned with trying to start the engine. Note that given the broadest reasonable interpretation, the method as claimed does not preclude a driver/operator from performing at least a portion of the recited steps.

Specifically regarding Claim 9, as best interpreted, the brakes activated by the steps of Salecker et al would be released at least by the point when the vehicle is actually driven.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,180

Art Unit: 3741

Specifically regarding Claim 10, the steps of Salecker et al proceeds from block 102 to 106 if the gear box is not in neutral. At block 105 starter release is provided and at block 106 the engine is started. Since the blocks are followed from one to the next without other inputs and the engine is started at block 106 after the starter release is provided at block 106, this process can be considered as "automatically starting the engine".

Specifically regarding Claim 11, Salecker et al discloses on Page 9, Lines 28-35 that a starter release is provided if the neutral position is engages within a predefined time length of 0.1 to 10 seconds. If a starter release is not provided, the engine is not automatically started.

Specifically regarding Claim 12, block 102 performs the task of determining whether the gear box is in neutral.

Specifically regarding Claim 13, block 104 opens the clutch and block 105 provides a starter release after the clutch has been opened.

Additionally regarding Claims 8-13, note that the method of Claim 8 could also be met if a driver of a manual transmission automobile performs a "push start" - that is an engine with a manual transmission can be started without the aid of a starter by pushing a vehicle or having the vehicle being started by rolling down an incline. In performing a "push start" the driver would cause the vehicle to become in motion and in doing so would be checking to see if the vehicle is stationary (or not stationary as the case may be). Since the vehicle would have to be in motion to perform the "push start", the vehicle would not be stationary and the remainder of the method would not have to be carried out. Note that Claims 9-13 require the starting authorization of Claim 8 which

Art Unit: 3741

occurs only "if the vehicle is stationary" and therefore would not need to be carried out. Further note that it is well known in the art for drivers of manual transmission vehicles to depress both the clutch and the brake pedals prior to turning the ignition key switch. The act of turning the ignition can be considered as "authorizing a starting of the engine". Since a driver would subconsciously check to see whether their vehicle is stationary or not as they approach (as it would be quite apparent if it were rolling away) prior to getting in and starting their vehicle, the method as claimed in Claim 8 given its broadest reasonable interpretation would be met by following general starting operating procedures of a manual transmission vehicle.

Specifically regarding Claim 14, referring to the "push start" described directly above, the driver would personally authorize the starting of the engine by turning the ignition switch once the vehicle is in gear and in motion in order to start the vehicle without the aid an engine starter (i.e. dead battery).

Balz et al

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balz et al (WO 99/50112). Balz et al discloses an electric control unit that applies the parking brake system before starting the vehicle. However, Balz et al does not distinctly disclose "making a check as to whether the vehicle is stationary".

Specifically regarding Claim 8, a driver would subconsciously check to see whether their vehicle is stationary or not as they approach (as it would be quite apparent if it were rolling away) prior to getting in and starting their vehicle, the method as claimed in Claim 8 given its broadest reasonable interpretation would be met once the

Application/Control Number: 10/565,180

Art Unit: 3741

driver performs the check and then starts a vehicle with the electric parking brake system as disclosed by Balz et al.

Specifically regarding Claim 9, as best interpreted, Balz et al discloses releasing the parking brake system during the starting operation of the vehicle by means of suitable dynamics.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/3/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the prior art fails to suggest the feature of a control unit checking whether the vehicle is stationary and if there is a starting command. The examiner contends that the issue of a "control unit" is not germane to the claims. All the method steps can be performed by the human operator. However, rewriting the claims as **apparatus** claims including a control unit would be **allowable**. The arguments presented in the previous rejections dated 9/3/08 and 3/3/09 are reiterated.

## **Conclusion**

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,180 Page 6

Art Unit: 3741

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah Kamen whose telephone number is 571 272 4845. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cuff can be reached on 571 272 6778. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Noah Kamen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3741

/Noah Kamen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3741